

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
8

9 IKE ELIAS, }  
10 Plaintiff, } Case No. 2:11-cv-01440-KJD-CWH  
11 vs. } **ORDER**  
12 RONALD H. REYNOLDS }  
13 AND ASSOCIATES, *et al.*, }  
14 Defendants. }  
15

16 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Ike Elias' Motion for Discovery on an Expedited  
17 Basis (#26), filed March 6, 2012.

18 Plaintiff's motion appears to be an attempt to file a discovery plan and scheduling order or  
19 request a planning conference under Rule 16. The Court has reviewed the motion and finds that it does  
20 not comply with this Court's Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Local Rule 26-1(d)  
21 requires that a plaintiff, or the plaintiff's counsel, initiate the mandatory Rule 26(f) scheduling  
22 conference. Within fourteen (14) days after the mandatory conference, the parties must submit a  
23 stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order. LR 26-1(d). Upon Court approval, the stipulated plan  
24 becomes the scheduling order required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). Here, Plaintiff has not initiated a Rule  
25 26(f) scheduling conference. Nor have the parties submitted a stipulated discovery plan as required.  
Accordingly,

26 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff Ike Elias' Motion for Discovery on an Expedited  
27 Basis (#26) is **denied**. Plaintiff is instructed to initiate the Rule 26(f) scheduling conference no later  
28 than **Monday, March 19, 2012**. The stipulated discovery plan shall be filed by **Monday, April 2, 2012**. Failure of any party to participate in framing the discovery plan may result in sanctions under

1 Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(f).

2 DATED this 9th day of March, 2012.

3  
4  
5   
6 

---

  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

10 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.  
11 United States Magistrate Judge